

The Left is Trying to Get the Right To Doom Pam Bondi

OPINION

WRITTEN BY
Stephen MacDonald, GraniteGrok.com

Democrats have nothing. Well, that's not entirely true. They are still adept at getting Republicans to eat each other's lunch. It works like this. Every side has factions and pundits scrabbling for eyeballs, which results in (at least) two things. Click-bait BS headlines and hyperbolic exhortations.

Dems do it, Bees do it, everyone does it- well, maybe not bees. But you get the point. And Democrats know that Republicans can be easily led to any trough filled with alleged broken Republican promises where they will heartily feed and spread the poison amongst themselves.

The circle jerk quickly becomes a circular firing squad, and no, I'm not claiming' we're immune, but I've debunked more than a few acts of internet fraud from both directions in my time, so in all things balance, I suppose.

No TRE?

First, you create opportunities, especially without a target-rich environment. RFKJ and Pam Bondi have been early targets of this scheme by the left. A plot that Jeff Childers succinctly enuerates as,

Step One: Pick an effective conservative figure with influence or political power.

Step Two: Wait for them to do something even slightly open to interpretation.

Step Three: Seed social media with just enough innuendo to turn skepticism into a purity spiral.

Step Four: Place the popcorn bag in the microwave, label side down.

For the record, we get many requests to jump on this bandwagon and decline most of them (with the obvious recent exception of a prominent NH political PAC that misplaced \$169,000, which is Republican donor money down some mysterious Republican House Leadership USAID hole—clarification still pending). That is not speculation or the elevation of nothing in an effort to make it something. It is something and under investigation at the highest levels of the same government, so we expect little joy by the end. But I digress.

RFKJ didn't do all the anti-vaxxer things, disband the FDA, or invert the food pyramid (yet). Fauci's rotting carcass isn't dangling from a noose outside DHS. What the hell, Bobby? So that's the end of the Trump agenda as we know it. Or, not. It's been six weeks. Take a breath.

SCOTUS's recent "ruling" on the TRO meant to prevent Trump from cutting foreign USAID aid is another. The 'right' is ready to play Proglodyte and parade around Roberts' and Barrett's residences with Guillotines (readily available from any nearby left-wing activist supply store). Be skeptical and analytical but responsible. We don't know a lot, and it is a strange jerk of the knee from people who frame this Presidency and its pieces like 3D chess one moment then as dupes of the system in the next.

I'm not saying there's no history to support that, but they can't be Moriarty and a dopey street thug. The latter is typically the better choice, followed by pleasant but cautious surprise when something goes the right way. And it's still early days. We also have to remember it won't all get sorted. Take the points and then get the ball back.

Pam Bondi is also on the hook over the non-release of the notorious Epstein files, which



include the names of important people whom a mass of center-right activists would like to prove are pedophiles. Right now, dammit. The first release, on paper, appeared useless, but as we've speculated elsewhere, it was a head fake to get the DOJ up inside the Southern District of New York's grill to clean house.

The center-right presumes the immediate lack of grand jury indictments means nothing will get done, and they have plenty of reason to believe that. But the left has gone all in criticizing Bondi over something they don't want to have happen. Childers continues with a few breadcrumbs leading to what appears to be another Dem psyop.

Here are the red flags that Bondi's character assassination is a psyop. First, Democrats are gleefully joining in. These are the same people shrieking about DOGE getting access to private IRS files, but now, they suddenly reject the idea that Pam might need to redact witness and source information? When did Democrats become the champions of full disclosure?

Second, all the angst is based solely on guesses about Pam's dark motives, with zero rational examination of the actual facts. Here's a fact: If Pam releases the Epstein files too quickly and even one accidentally unredacted sex-trafficked victim claims her life is ruined—or if one confidential source is put "in danger"—Democrats will never shut up about it. And they'll use it to take down the entire Trump Administration. It will be evidence of Trump's recklessness and "moving too fast." That's the minefield Pam is tiptoeing through. ...

He reminds us that Bondi has only been there a few weeks and that the antagonistic FBI will not just comply -even with Kash Patel leaning on them (he just got there too). "And yet, some people think she can just log in, click "Epstein Files.zip," and hit "Download." Guys, be serious. Realistically, pulling it all together could take years."

The problem is that promises were made that could not be met on the advertised schedule,

which rightly plays back into the larger problem we see: No one in Washington ever goes to jail, so is this more of that?

Given the yuge avalanche of positive Trump activity in the opening weeks, I'd give them the benefit of the doubt. There has never been anything like this. Not only has the dust not settled from the opening salvos, but this President continues to stir up more dust with no obvious sign of slowing down, and Democrats are desperate to find a way to stop the Trump train.

Using their still prodigious resources to warp public opinion will never end, but that doesn't mean we need to help them do it.

I'm not suggesting blind devotion; that's absurd. Patience, however, is a virtue. Collect your receipts. Air your concerns. But do not carry the Democrats' water. And the Epstein files are nothing more than a dunk tank waiting for some famous person to take the bench so we can throw hardballs at the actuator. It will not close the border, lead to peace, lower the price of eggs, create jobs, or reduce crime.

It'll be fun, perhaps with the occasional I told you so, but the CIA asset's party list probably has some sensitive stuff in it. And sure, we just want names, but do we also wish for context? Did they all get caught in the underage honey trap, or just some of them? It would be a crime to implicate the innocent as pedos if it were not accurate - I think that's still something we stand behind, yes?

Anyway,

I'll leave you on a happy note. This woman has had a road-to-Damascus moment, and I suspect that we could all learn a thing or two (or be reminded of them) from her. 🙏

1 Corinthians 6:8
On the contrary, you yourselves wrong and defraud. You do this even to your brethren.